

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

TIMOTHY J. VLAIKU, SR.,	)	CASE NO. 5:07 cv 2780
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	JUDGE SARA LIOI
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	MEMORANDUM OPINION
	)	AND ORDER
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,	)	
Commissioner of Social Security,	)	
	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

Before the Court is the report and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge in the above-entitled action. Under the relevant statute:

Within ten days after being served with a copy, any party may serve and file written objections to such proposed findings and recommendations as provided by rules of court. A judge of the court shall make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). In this case, the ten-day period has elapsed and no objections have been filed. The failure to file written objections to a Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation constitutes a waiver of a *de novo* determination by the district court of an issue covered in the report. *Thomas v. Arn*, 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), *aff'd*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *see United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).

The Court has reviewed the Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation and adopts the same. Accordingly, Defendant's final determination is **REVERSED**. Pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), this matter is hereby

**REMANDED** to the Commissioner for further proceedings consistent with the Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation, and entry of decision based thereon.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: August 19, 2008

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**HONORABLE SARA LIOI**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**